

## Case: Frederikshavn Water Utility

## N<sub>2</sub>O Emission Monitoring Made Simple

The municipal water utility "Forsyningen" located in Frederikshavn, Denmark has focused on the implementation of methodologies to better estimate and quantify greenhouse gas emissions from a number of different wastewater processes within their municipal wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs).

V	Frederikshavn WWTP
PE	130.000 (split in two streams)
Influent	300 m <sup>3</sup> /h
Anoxic Vol	1195 m <sup>3</sup>
Aerated Vol	2290 m <sup>3</sup>
COD, TKN, TP	2800, 240, 40 Ton/yr

The utility runs both recirculation and biofilm wastewater processes. Due to increasing uncertainty in using emission key numbers, the utility contacted Unisense Environment for assistance in determining the actual N<sub>2</sub>O derived CO<sub>2</sub> footprint. As the foundation for the new initiative, the N<sub>2</sub>O emission from a standard recirculation was firstly monitored.

In order to save energy and increase the N-removal capacity, the aerobic zone was operated with intermittent aeration, allowing denitrification in the aerated zone depending on the ammonium levels in the tank. Unisense Environment installed two N<sub>2</sub>O Wastewater Systems, one placed in the anoxic tank and the other in the aerobic tank. To facilitate the understanding of the N<sub>2</sub>O formation, N<sub>2</sub>O sensor data was logged with all other plant data though a standard integration with the SCADA system.

Following a quick 2-point calibration procedure, the sensors were left to monitor  $N_2O$  levels. After a 14 day measuring period a full data series extracted from the SCADA system was sent to Unisense Environment for data analysis. Using different data managing tools the overall correlations and in particular the  $N_2O$  production were documented.







Standard recirculation setup at Frederiskhavn WWTP

From the 14-days period it was clear that the variation in N<sub>2</sub>O emission is extensive. The main emission over the period was linked to two high load events that led to 53% of the total N<sub>2</sub>O emission during 42 hours out of the 14 days. Furthermore, low dissolved oxygen set points in the beginning of the campaign led to a significant increase in the N<sub>2</sub>O concentration, clearly stressing the correlation between low oxygen and N<sub>2</sub>O formation. During the analyzed period the N<sub>2</sub>O formation in the anoxic tank was very low and only during a high ammonium period did the N<sub>2</sub>O concentration increase to 0.3 N-mg/L for 30 hours. In the aerated part N<sub>2</sub>O was mainly produced during the anoxic phases introduced by the intermittent aeration and subsequently stripped to the atmosphere by the aeration.

Finally, emission calculations were performed using peer reviewed and validated models to assess the N2O emissions and derived CO2-equivalents. This was compared with the aeration power derived CO2-equivalents and presented to the management of the water utility as part of a 2-day consultancy service. The total carbon footprint from the period was calculated to be 10 ton  $CO_2$ -equivalents with N<sub>2</sub>O derived  $CO_2$  accounting for 59% of the total emission.



A 6 day section of the 14 day measuring period. NH4, NO3, O2, and N2O data series are plotted and include the low  $O_2$  set point and one of two high load periods.

Emission lank 1 [Ion/14 days]

From the short monitoring and data analysis campaign a short list of problems and possible solutions was derived.

- The N<sub>2</sub>O concentration and emission is highly variable.
- Dissolved oxygen control is important to avoid elevated N<sub>2</sub>O production and emission.
- Short periods of high ammonium loads should be avoided by balancing the influent load.
- Control strategies with COD enhanced denitrification can potentially diminish the  $N_2O$  emission.
- Energy optimization using pause-aeration strategies must be coupled with N<sub>2</sub>O monitoring to avoid excessive N<sub>2</sub>O emissions.

With a small investment the utility has gained a real insight into the source and causes of their  $N_2O$  derived emissions and can now act towards minimizing their climate impact from N<sub>2</sub>O.

5.85 4.11 °C C ~ N<sub>2</sub>O Emission CO<sub>2</sub> Emission - Aeration Power

N<sub>2</sub>O Emission - in CO<sub>2</sub> Equivalents From Aeration Tank

Cumulated CO<sub>2</sub> emission over 14 days derived from the calculated N<sub>2</sub>O emission and from the kWh used for aeration. CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent factors used: 296 kg CO $_2$  /kg N $_2$ O and 0.418 kg CO $_2$  / kWh used.